

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Yuba County Jail

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: 08/04/2025

Date Final Report Submitted: 02/12/2026

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Matthew Taylor	Date of Signature: 02/12/2026

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Taylor, Matthew
Email:	matthew@preaauditing.com
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	06/18/2025
End Date of On-Site Audit:	06/20/2025

FACILITY INFORMATION	
Facility name:	Yuba County Jail
Facility physical address:	215 5th Street, Suite #150, Marysville, California - 95901
Facility mailing address:	215 5th street, Marysville,

Primary Contact

Name:	Marcel van de Bruinhorst
Email Address:	mvandebruinhorst@yuba.gov
Telephone Number:	5307497740

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director	
Name:	Captain B. Spear
Email Address:	bspear@yuba.gov
Telephone Number:	530-749-7734

Facility PREA Compliance Manager	
Name:	
Email Address:	
Telephone Number:	

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site	
Name:	Nikol Martinez
Email Address:	NiMartinez@Wellpath.us
Telephone Number:	530-749-7756

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	426
Current population of facility:	335
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	328
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
What is the facility's population designation?	Both women/girls and men/boys

Age range of population:	18-75
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Minimum, Medium, Maximum
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	No
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	79
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	0
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	50

AGENCY INFORMATION

Name of agency:	Yuba County Sheriff's Office
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	
Physical Address:	720 Yuba Street, Marysville, California - 95901
Mailing Address:	
Telephone number:	

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:

Name:	
Email Address:	
Telephone Number:	

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information

Name:	Marcel Van De Bruinhorst	Email Address:	mvandebruinhorst@yuba.gov
--------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:

0	
---	--

Number of standards met:

45	
----	--

Number of standards not met:

0	
---	--

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

Please note: Question numbers may not appear sequentially as some questions are omitted from the report and used solely for internal reporting purposes.

GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2025-06-18
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2025-06-20

Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	The auditor spoke directly with leadership at the local community based organization, Casa De Esperanza.

AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	426
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	328
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	19
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

23. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	349
25. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	49
26. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	4
27. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
28. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	3
29. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	3
30. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0

<p>31. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>32. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>33. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>34. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>35. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p>Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit</p>	
<p>36. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p>	<p>79</p>
<p>37. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</p>	<p>50</p>

38. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	43
39. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	No text provided.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
40. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	13
41. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> None
If "Other," describe:	Custody Level
42. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?	The auditor received a copy of the facility roster which broke down the population of the facility into diversity backgrounds. This information allowed the auditor to accurately select a random representation of the inmate population from the facility.

43. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
44. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	No text provided.
Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
45. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	13
<p>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</p>	
47. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The facility reported there were no inmates identified as having a physical disability during the onsite portion of the audit. The auditor was able to confirm this information through review of inmate rosters, observations during site review process and interviews conducted with staff at the facility.</p>
<p>48. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>49. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The facility reported there were no inmates identified as blind or low vision during the onsite portion of the audit. The auditor was able to confirm this information through review of inmate rosters, observations during site review process and interviews conducted with staff at the facility.</p>
<p>50. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>3</p>

<p>51. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>52. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The facility reported there were no inmates identified as lesbian, gay or bisexual during the onsite portion of the audit. The auditor was able to confirm this information through review of inmate rosters, observations during site review process and interviews conducted with staff at the facility.</p>
<p>53. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The facility reported there were no inmates identified as transgender/intersex during the onsite portion of the audit. The auditor was able to confirm this information through review of inmate rosters, observations during site review process and interviews conducted with staff at the facility.</p>
<p>54. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The facility reported there were no inmates identified as reported sexual abuse at the facility during the onsite portion of the audit. The auditor was able to confirm this information through review of inmate rosters, observations during site review process and interviews conducted with staff and inmates at the facility.</p>
<p>55. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</p>	<p>2</p>

<p>56. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The facility reported there were no inmates identified as ever being placed in segregated housing for risk of victimization during the onsite portion of the audit. The auditor was able to confirm this information through review of inmate rosters, observations during site review process and interviews conducted with staff at the facility.</p>
<p>57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</p>	
<p>Random Staff Interviews</p>	
<p>58. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</p>	<p>12</p>

<p>59. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>
<p>If "Other," describe:</p>	<p>The auditor interviewed both male and female staff at the facility.</p>
<p>60. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>61. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p>Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews</p>	
<p>Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.</p>	
<p>62. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</p>	<p>11</p>
<p>63. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>

64. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
65. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
66. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

67. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff

	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
68. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	1
b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health/counseling <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> Other
69. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	2
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Food service <input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input type="checkbox"/> Other
70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	No text provided.

SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

71. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:	
72. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
73. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
74. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
75. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

<p>76. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
--	--------------------------

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

<p>77. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>
--	--

<p>78. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
---	--------------------------

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

79. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	2	1	2	2
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	2	1	2	2

80. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	1	0	1	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	1	0	1	0
Total	2	0	2	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

81. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	1	1	1	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1	1	1	0

82. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	2
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	2

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

83. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

84. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	1
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	1

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

85. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:

3

<p>86. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</p>	
<p>87. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>88. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>89. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</p>	
<p>90. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>91. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

<p>92. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</p>	
<p>93. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>94. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</p>	
<p>95. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>96. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p>97. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
98. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	1
99. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
100. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
101. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	No text provided.
SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION	
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff	
102. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

Non-certified Support Staff

<p>103. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>
---	---

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

<p>108. Who paid you to conduct this audit?</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> The audited facility or its parent agency</p> <p><input type="radio"/> My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Other</p>
--	---

<p>Identify the name of the third-party auditing entity</p>	<p>Corrections Consulting Services</p>
--	--

Standards	
Auditor Overall Determination Definitions	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard) • Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period) • Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions) 	
Auditor Discussion Instructions	
<p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p>	

115.11	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.11</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy 402 · Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Policy 901 · Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Organizational Chart <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with the agency PREA coordinator <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.11 (a)</p>

	<p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Office has a written policy, Policy 901, mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in facilities it operates directly or under contract. This is also illustrated in Policy 402.</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy 402 and 901 outline how it will implement the facility's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy includes sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The policy includes a description of the facility's strategies and responses to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates.</p> <p>115.11 (b)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department employs or designates an upper-level, agency-wide PREA coordinator with sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities. This was evidenced by the provided organizational chart and interview with the facility wide PREA coordinator.</p> <p>115.11 (c)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department indicated in the PAQ that they have a designated a PREA compliance manager. However, the Yuba County Sheriff's Department operates only one jail and does not actually have a designated PREA compliance manager and that is not required under this provision if it only operates one facility.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.12</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy 402 · Contract with the U.S. Department of Justice United States Marshals Service Prisoner Operations Division <p>Interviews:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Facility Contract Administrator or Designee <p>115.12 (a)</p> <p>Although the Yuba County Sheriff’s Department indicated in their PAQ that they contract with outside private or outside governmental agencies for the confinement of their inmates, the facility does not actually have those contracts. For clarification, the facility has a contract with the U.S. Marshals Service Prisoner Operations Division for the confinement of their inmates but not with the inmates incarcerated with the Yuba County Sheriff’s Department. The facility provided a copy of this contract which illustrated the requirement to comply with the PREA standards.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	--

115.13	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.13</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Policy 515 · Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Memorandum Dated 7/08/2025 Related to the facility Staffing Plan Review · Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Annual Review Report 2024 · Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Lockdowns, Safety Checks, Inspections E-130 <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with facility captain · Interview with the facility PREA Coordinator · Interview with intermediate and higher-level staff <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The auditor observed the number of staff, contractors, and volunteers present (including security and non-security staff) and staffing patterns during every shift. · The auditor observed staff line of sight and assess whether there are blind spots. · The auditor observed areas where persons confined in the facility are not allowed

to determine whether movement in and out of that space is monitored.

- The auditor observed the level of supervision and frequency of cell checks in housing areas where confined persons are double-celled, in dormitories, or in holding pens with more than one person.
- The auditor observed indirect supervision practices, including camera placement.

Findings by Provision:

115.13 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires its jail facility it to develop, document, and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against abuse as evidenced by the provided staffing development plan process, the Yuba County Jail staffing plan and interviews conducted with the captain and PREA coordinator.

115.13 (b)

Each time the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility documents and justifies all deviations from the staffing plan. This was evidenced by department policy 515, interview with the facility captain, site review observations and informal interviews with staff throughout the facility.

115.13 (c)

At least once every year the facility, in collaboration with the PREA Coordinator, the facility reviews the staffing plan to see whether adjustments are needed to: (a) the staffing plan, (b) the deployment of monitoring technology, or (c) the allocation of facility/agency resources to commit to the staffing plan to ensure compliance with the staffing plan. This was evidenced by the provided 2024 facility annual review.

During the audit process this auditor reviewed the Yuba County Sheriff's Department Annual Review Report 2024. It should be noted that the jail has not PREA audited in recent years and therefore has not completed annual reviews because they were not compliant with the PREA standards and actively auditing the facility.

The auditor also conducted an interview with the facility captain who confirmed compliance with this provision.

115.13 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Lockdowns, Headcounts, Safety Checks, Inspections E-130 policy provides guidance to the facility.

The auditor conducted interviews with intermediate-level and higher-level facility staff confirming compliance with this provision.

	<p>The Yuba County Jail provided documentation of completed unannounced rounds for all shifts at the facility confirming compliance with this provision.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	--

115.14	Youthful inmates
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.14</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Statement of Fact Dated 4/15/2024 · Current Inmate Rosters <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The auditor observed the entire facility and found no evidence of youthful inmates housed at the facility. <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.14 (a) (b) (c)</p> <p>The Yuba County Jails does not house youthful inmates at the facility. This was confirmed through inmate rosters, informal interviews with staff an informal interview with the PREA coordinator and an informal interview with the facility captain.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>

115.15	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.15</p>

Document Review:

- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy 411 Transgender and Intersex Inmates
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 5/05/2025
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Search Procedures Policy E136
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Shaves, Haircuts and Showers Policy 303

Interviews:

- Interviews with random staff
- Interviews with random staff
- Interviews with random inmates

Site Review Observations:

- The auditor observed areas used to conduct strip searches, visual body cavity searches, and pat-down searches and assess whether opposite-gender staff (i.e., non-medical personnel) can watch the conduct of a strip search or visual body cavity search (absent exigent circumstances).
- The auditor observed all areas where confined persons may be in a state of undress, such as showering, using the toilet, and/or changing their clothes.
- The auditor observed if any nonmedical staff of the opposite gender are able to view confined persons in a state of undress, including from different angles and via mirror placement.
- The auditor observed electronic surveillance monitoring areas such as control rooms or other spaces where staff monitor live or recorded video feeds of confined persons (e.g., via camera feed) and determine if:
 - o Opposite-gender staff are assigned to monitor video surveillance (recorded or live) (e.g., male staff viewing female confined persons).

- o The video monitoring technology allows for point, tilt, zoom (PTZ) capabilities which could allow staff to see confined persons in a state of undress.
- o The facility uses any type of software (e.g., pixelation or blurring) or other mechanisms (e.g., post-its, tape) to obscure cross-gender viewing of confined persons in a state of undress.
- The auditor observed the method(s) used to alert individuals confined in the facility that an opposite-gender staff person has entered a housing unit/area where they are likely to be in a state of undress.
- The auditor observed whether the alert method(s) is sufficient to alert persons confined in the facility that an opposite-gender staff person will be entering the housing unit and allow them to cover-up and determine whether:
 - o The alert is loud enough for all of the confined persons in the housing unit/area to hear.
 - o The time between the alert and the staff person's arrival provides enough time for confined persons to cover up before the staff enter the area.
 - o The alert is provided in such a manner that confined persons with disabilities (e.g., persons who are Deaf or hard of hearing, Blind or have low vision, or those who are cognitively or functionally disabled (including intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities)) are also properly alerted to staff of the opposite-gender in the housing unit.

Findings by Provision:

115.15 (a)

The Yuba County Jail does not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches (meaning a search of the anal or genital opening) except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners. Facility policies on searches and the Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104, illustrate compliance with this requirement.

During the site review process, the auditor confirmed through observations that staff of the opposite gender were not conducting cross-gender strip searches or visual body cavity searches.

The auditor conducted informal interviews with inmates at the facility which further confirmed compliance with this provision.

115.15 (b)

The Yuba County Jail does not allow cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, absent of exigent circumstances. This was confirmed by the auditor through site review observations, interviews with random inmates, interviews with random staff, a review of inmate rosters, an interview with the PREA coordinator and the facility warden. This was further illustrated by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Search Procedures Policy E136.

115.15 (c)

The facility documents all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches. Compliance was determined through information contained in the Yuba County Sheriff's Department Search Procedures Policy E-136 and site review observations.

115.15 (d)

The Yuba County Jail has implemented policies and procedures that enable inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks (this includes viewing via video camera).

The auditor reviewed agency search policy and examples of facility post orders which prohibited cross-gender viewing and illustrated compliance with this provision.

The auditor conducted interviews with at least 26 inmates throughout the facility. Overwhelmingly, inmates confirmed that they were able to shower and perform bodily functions without staff of opposite gender viewing them in a state of undress.

The auditor conducted interviews with at least 12 random staff throughout the facility. All staff interviewed stated that they felt that inmates had as much privacy as could be afforded in a jail setting.

During the site review process this auditor concluded that inmates were provided sufficient privacy while showering and performing bodily functions and there were no apparent concerns of cross-gender viewing by non-medical staff of the opposite gender.

115.15 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy D-122 policy prohibits searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. If the inmate's genital status is unknown, it may be determined during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner.

The auditor conducted interviews with at least 12 random staff throughout the facility who confirmed they were prohibited from searching transgender intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status.

The auditor attempted to conduct interviews with transgender and intersex inmates at the facility. The facility reported that at the time of the onsite portion of the audit, there were currently zero transgender or intersex inmates housed there. The auditor confirmed this information through site-review observations, a review of inmate rosters and an interview with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.15 (f)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Search Procedures Policy 518 and Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 dictates that the agency shall train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches, and searches of transgender and intersex inmates, in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs.

	<p>In accordance with guidance issued by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, on December 2, 2025, no compliance determination shall be made in relation to PREA Standard 115.15 (f).</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	--

115.16	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.16</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Policy D-104 · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/08/2025 · Agreement Between Yuba County Sheriff's Department of Language Line Services Inc. · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/29/2025 Related to Training Acknowledgement · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Staff Training Signatures · Enhanced PREA Education Signage Pictures <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interviews with inmates with disabilities or who are limited English proficient · Interviews with random staff · Interview with the facility captain

Site Review Observations:

- The auditor tested the facility's process for securing interpretation services on-demand.
- The auditor determined if persons confined in the facility must self-identify (e.g., enter pin, provide name/ID number) to access interpretation services.
- The auditor assessed the availability of interpretation services (e.g., ability to access immediate interpretation services).
- The auditor assessed the accessibility of interpretation services (i.e., available to all persons confined in the facility who need an interpreter, including persons confined in restricted housing).
- The auditor the location of interpretation services (e.g., are services provided in a location that provides some privacy for the persons confined in the facility?).

Findings by Provision:

115.16 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department takes appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities (including, for example, inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities) have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Such steps shall include, when necessary to ensure effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary. In addition, the facility ensures that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities, including inmates who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, or who are blind or have low vision.

The auditor reviewed policies on facility intake procedures initial orientation, special needs inmates, referrals to inmates with developmental disabilities and sign language interpretation services offered by the facility.

The auditor interviewed inmates who were considered limited English proficient. Overwhelmingly inmates indicated they were provided information in a language that they could understand and they were aware of available interpretation services.

During the site review process the auditor visually observed PREA signage in every housing unit that was available in Spanish, the second most commonly spoken language at the facility. The auditor was also able to test the on-demand access to telephone interpretation services which worked perfectly. This service was delivered to the facilities via Language Line.

The auditor did have concerns about the visibility of written materials in general throughout the facility related to PREA reporting mechanisms and educational materials.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Post throughout the facility more robust documentation related the facility's inmate PREA education and allegation reporting mechanisms. The facility will need to take pictures of these new "bulletin board" spaces illustrating that all inmates have equal access regardless of their disability.
2. The facility will provide the auditor with documentation of staff training on PREA compliant practices for inmates with disabilities.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with multiple photos of more robust documentation related to inmate education and reporting mechanisms.
2. The facility provided the auditor with multiple training acknowledgments and

training records for all staff currently assigned to the facility.

115.16 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy D-104 dictates that the agency shall take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient, including steps to provide interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Post throughout the facility more robust documentation related the facility's inmate PREA education and allegation reporting mechanisms. The facility will need to take pictures of these new "bulletin board" spaces illustrating that all inmates have equal access regardless of their disability or limited English proficiency.
2. The facility will provide any additional policies and documentation of print policy formats, interpreter guides and braille versions of educational materials available to inmates who are considered limited English proficient and/or visually disabled.
3. The facility will provide the auditor with documentation of staff training on PREA compliant practices for inmates that are considered limited English proficient.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with multiple photos of more robust documentation related to inmate education and reporting mechanisms.
2. The facility provided the auditor with additional educational materials, including

their executed agreement with Language Line Services Inc., to provide interpretation services to inmates for those inmates that might be limited English proficient or have disabilities like blind or low vision or deaf or hard of hearing.

3. The facility provided the auditor with multiple training acknowledgments and training records for all staff currently assigned to the facility.

115.16 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department prohibits use of inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations.

The auditor conducted interviews with at least 12 random staff throughout the facility. Staff indicated they would not use inmate interpreters or readers absent of exigent circumstances that would lead to an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Provide a copy of the facility policy that prohibits use of inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety and the performance of first-response duties.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policy Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention D-104. Policy now clearly dictates that if there is an investigation involve incarcerated persons who have disabilities or have limited

	<p>English proficiency, the first responder shall not rely on incarcerated person interpreters, except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an interpreter could compromise the incarcerated person’s safety.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.17	Hiring and promotion decisions
---------------	---------------------------------------

	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.17</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Memorandum Dated 7/16/2025 · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Recommended Policy Update · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Memorandum Dated 7/16/2025 · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Memorandum Dated 7/30/2025 · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department 5-Year Background Check Tracker · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Recruitment and Selection Policy · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Hiring and Recruitment Policy 901 · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Policy 1000.2 · Staff Training Logs <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interviews with human resource staff <p>Findings by Provision:</p>
--	--

115.17 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department does not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates, and shall not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates, who— (1) Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997); (2) Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or (3) Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. This was evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department recommended policy update.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate recommended changes into existing policies, paying close attention to every element in the provision, to make sure it is addressed in the policy.
2. Train existing staff on the changes to the policy insuring that the practice becomes institutionalized. The facility will forward staff training logs to the auditor as proof documentation.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policies illustrating compliance with every element required in the provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with training logs for staff currently assigned to the facility illustrating that staff have been appropriately trained on hiring and promotion decisions as outlined in the new policies and procedures.

115.17 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department considers any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates. This was evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department recommended policy update and an interview with human resources staff.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate recommended changes into existing policies, paying close attention to every element in the provision, to make sure it is addressed in the policy.
2. Train existing staff on the changes to the policy insuring that the practice becomes institutionalized. The facility will forward staff training logs to the auditor as proof documentation.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policies illustrating compliance with every element required in the provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with training logs for staff currently assigned to the facility illustrating that staff have been appropriately trained on hiring and promotion decisions as outlined in the new policies and procedures.

115.17 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, the agency does: (1) Perform a criminal background records check; and (2) Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best

efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. This was evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department recommended policy update. Compliance was also determined through an interview with human resources staff.

The facility reported that over the last 12 months there were a total of 14 persons hired who may have contact with inmates that had a criminal background check as part of their hiring process.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate recommended changes into existing policies, paying close attention to every element in the provision, to make sure it is addressed in the policy.
2. Train existing staff on the changes to the policy insuring that the practice becomes institutionalized. The facility will forward staff training logs to the auditor as proof documentation.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policies illustrating compliance with every element required in the provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with training logs for staff currently assigned to the facility illustrating that staff have been appropriately trained on hiring and promotion decisions as outlined in the new policies and procedures.

115.17 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department performs a criminal background records check

before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates. This was evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department recommended policy update. Compliance was also determined through an interview with human resources staff.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate recommended changes into existing policies, paying close attention to every element in the provision, to make sure it is addressed in the policy.
2. Train existing staff on the changes to the policy insuring that the practice becomes institutionalized. The facility will forward staff training logs to the auditor as proof documentation.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policies illustrating compliance with every element required in the provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with training logs for staff currently assigned to the facility illustrating that staff have been appropriately trained on hiring and promotion decisions as outlined in the new policies and procedures.

115.17 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department conducts criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department recommended policy update. Compliance was also determined through an interview with human resources staff and the provided Yuba County Sheriff's Department 5-Year background check tracker.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate recommended changes into existing policies, paying close attention to every element in the provision, to make sure it is addressed in the policy.
2. Train existing staff on the changes to the policy insuring that the practice becomes institutionalized. The facility will forward staff training logs to the auditor as proof documentation.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policies illustrating compliance with every element required in the provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with training logs for staff currently assigned to the facility illustrating that staff have been appropriately trained on hiring and promotion decisions as outlined in the new policies and procedures.

115.17 (f)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department asks all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions and in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees. The facility shall also impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct. This was evidenced by an interview with human resources staff.

115.17 (g)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, are grounds for termination. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department recommended policy update.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate recommended changes into existing policies, paying close attention to every element in the provision, to make sure it is addressed in the policy.
2. Train existing staff on the changes to the policy insuring that the practice becomes institutionalized. The facility will forward staff training logs to the auditor as proof documentation.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policies illustrating compliance with every element required in the provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with training logs for staff currently assigned to the facility illustrating that staff have been appropriately trained on hiring and promotion decisions as outlined in the new policies and procedures.

115.17 (h)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department provides information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. This was evidenced by an interview with human resources staff.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews

	conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.
--	--

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.18</p> <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with facility captain <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.18 (a)</p> <p>When designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the facility shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department reported in the PAQ that it has made a recent expansion or modification to its existing facility. Compliance with this provision was determined through an interview with the facility captain. It should be noted that a recent expansion to the facility was observed by the auditor during the site review process. However, the expansion to the facility was not yet complete and the auditor was unable to tour the expansion during the construction.</p> <p>115.18 (b)</p> <p>When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department considers how such technology may enhance the facility’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. Compliance with provision was determined through an interview with the facility captain.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

115.21

Document Review:

- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/09/2025
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 4/16/2025
- Operational Agreement for Casa de Esperanza Rape Crisis Center
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 5/07/2025

Interviews:

- Interviews with random staff
- Interview with the facility PREA coordinator
- Interview with an inmate who reported sexual abuse

Findings by Provision:

115.21 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy D-104 and Policy 604 outline how the facility follows a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions.

The PAQ reported that criminal investigations are conducted by peace officers from the Yuba County Sheriff's Department.

The auditor interviewed at least 12 random staff throughout the facility all of which were able to articulate the how the facility obtains useable physical evidence and were aware of what the facility's coordinated response plan was to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

115.21 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department has developed a protocol appropriate for youth where applicable, and, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents."

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Provide the auditor with a copy of facility policy that complies with the full provision outlined in 115.21 (b).

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility updated the Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104, that complies with the requirement with this provision.

115.21 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department policies on Inmate Sexual Assault Investigations and Sexual Assault/Sexual Abuse dictates that all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, the examination can be performed by other qualified medical

practitioners. The agency documents its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs.

According to the information provided in the PAQ, the facility provided zero SAFE/ SANE exams to inmates in the past 12 months.

115.21 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy D-104 dictates that the agency attempts to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center. If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, the agency provides these services through a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member. The agency documents its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers.

The auditor reviewed the operational agreement the facility has with Casa de Esperanza. The signed agreement between the 2 parties fully complies with the requirements of this provision.

Further compliance was illustrated based on a phone conversation the auditor had with the executive director of Casa de Esperanza during the onsite portion of the audit.

The auditor interviewed the facility PREA confirmed who confirmed the relationship the facility had with Casa de Esperanza.

115.21 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy D-104 requires that, if requested by the victim, the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and shall provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals.

The auditor interviewed the PREA coordinator who confirmed that victim advocacy

	<p>was provided at the facility by Casa de Esperanza.</p> <p>115.21 (f)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy 604 outlines the requirement to follow all the provisions as previously outlined in this standard.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.22</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604 · Texas <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with administrative and criminal investigators · Interview with facility captain <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.22 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604 ensure that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (including inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse and staff sexual misconduct).</p> <p>The facility reported in the PAQ that over the past 12 months, they have received 4</p>

	<p>allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that allegations were investigated either criminally or administratively.</p> <p>115.22 (b) (c)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604 ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior.</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department publishes their investigations and PREA policies on the agency's external website which was verified by the auditor.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed a criminal investigator under the Yuba County Sheriff's Department. The investigator confirmed all criminal investigations are referred to a peace officer on the sheriff's side who has the legal authority to investigate those offenses.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	--

115.31	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.31</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Jail Employee Training Curriculum · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 4/16/2025 · National Institute of Corrections Training Statement · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/22/2025 · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104

- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 5/12/2025
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/29/2025
- Staff Training Examples with Signatures
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Policy 518 Search Procedures

Interviews:

- Interviews with random staff

Other Observations:

- Staff training examples

Findings by Provision:

115.31 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department trains all employees who may have contact with inmates on:

1. Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
2. How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures.
3. Inmates' rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
4. The right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
5. The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement.
6. The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims.
7. How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse.

8. How to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates.
9. How to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates.
10. How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities

The auditor interviewed at least 12 random staff throughout the facility, all of which confirming they received training as outlined in items 1 through 10 of this provision.

The auditor also reviewed training records of staff and proof signatures that they understood the training they received. The facility was unable to provide the training curriculum to the auditor as illustrating compliance with all the elements of the required training. The facility reported that their training is provided by the National Institute of Corrections.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of the this report the facility will:

1. Provide the auditor with the training curriculum and any additional training materials that meet all the required elements of employee training in this standard.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with the overview of the new training curriculum they are not utilizing that covers all the requirements of employee PREA training outlined in this provision.

115.31 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department training is tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility. All employees receive gender specific gender because both male and female inmates are housed at the facility. The Yuba County

Jail has only 1 jail facility therefore all the employees only work at the Yuba County Jail.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of the this report the facility will:

1. Provide the auditor with the training curriculum and any additional training materials that meet all the required elements of employee training in this standard.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with the overview of the new training curriculum they are not utilizing that covers all the requirements of employee PREA training outlined in this provision.

115.31 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department provides each employee with refresher training every year to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures. In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, the facility provides refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. This was evidenced by information contained in a memorandum provided to the auditor in reference to staff PREA training frequency.

The facility also reported in the PAQ that employees are required to take refresher training minimally on an annual basis but is also provided during shift briefings.

115.31 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department documents through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received.

	<p>This was illustrated through examples of employee training records provided by the facility.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	--

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.32</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Jail Volunteer and Contractor Training Curriculum · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 4/22/2025 · Training Acknowledgements for Volunteers and Contractors · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 10/01/2025 <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interviews with volunteers/contractors who have contact with inmates <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.32 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response</p>

policies and procedures. This was evidenced by the training policies.

The auditor interviewed 1 volunteer and 1 contractor at the facility. Both individuals interviewed acknowledged that they are required and have successfully completed training on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies.

The auditor requested to review training records of volunteers.

The facility provided in the PAQ that there were a total of 50 volunteers and contractors, who may have contact with inmates, who have been trained in agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Provide the auditor with records of contractors and volunteers that have successfully completed the required facility PREA training. The records shall also have signatures indicated the volunteer/contractor successfully completed the training and that they understood the training they completed.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided PREA training curriculum for volunteers and contractors. The facility also provided examples of completed training acknowledgments illustrating by signature that they have completed the training and understand the training they have received.

115.32 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures the level and type of training provided

to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates, but all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates are notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents.

The auditor interviewed 1 volunteer and 1 contractor at the facility. Both individuals interviewed acknowledged that they are required and have successfully completed training on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies.

115.32 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department maintains documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received.

The auditor requested to review training records of volunteers and contractors at the facility.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Provide the auditor with records of contractors and volunteers that have successfully completed the required facility PREA training. The records shall also have signatures indicated the volunteer/contractor successfully completed the training and that they understood the training they completed.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided PREA training curriculum for volunteers and contractors. The facility also provided examples of completed training acknowledgments illustrating by signature that they have completed the training and understand the training they have received.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.

115.33 Inmate education

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.33

Document Review:

- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- Inmate Intake Records
- Inmate Handbooks in English and Spanish
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/29/2025
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Full Booking Report Examples
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Agreement with LanguageLine
- Photos of New PREA Signage Posted Throughout the Facility

Interviews:

- Interviews with intake staff
- Interviews with random inmates

Site Review Observations

- The auditor confirmed who is responsible for conducting the intake process.

- The auditor tested how the facility provides the necessary PREA information to all confined persons, regardless of ability and language, including whether written information, if applicable, is clear and provided at an appropriate reading-level and is accessible for all persons confined in the facility, including those who are limited English proficient. The facility provides interpreters, when needed, to assist Deaf and non-English speaking persons confined in the facility. Staff are prepared to read written information out loud, if applicable, to make accommodations for persons confined in the facility when necessary. Mental health staff or other skilled educators/ staff are involved in providing the required information to confined persons with cognitive or functional disabilities.
- The auditor tested the facility's process for securing interpretation services on-demand via Language Line.
- The auditor determined that comprehensive PREA education is provided by both video and in-person. The auditor observed a live comprehensive PREA education session.
- The auditor assessed that the education provided included the required information as outlined in the Standards.
- The auditor assessed how the facility made the comprehensive education accessible to all persons confined in the facility (i.e., confined persons who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing, Blind or have low vision, cognitively or functionally disabled, limited English proficient, non-English speaking, and/or have limited reading skills).
- The auditor observed whether signage throughout the facility can be easily read/ accessed by persons in the facility, specifically; signage language is clear and easy to understand. Signage specific to services, such as emotional support services, civil immigration, and external reporting, should include language that clearly details what services are available and for what purposes.
- The auditor observed signage was provided in English and translated into Spanish. The signage text size, formatting, and physical placement accommodates most readers, including those of average height, low vision/visually impaired, or those physically disabled/in a wheelchair.
- The auditor observed that other PREA signage was posted in areas where staff and persons confined in the facility are able to read and retain the information being provided.

Findings by Provision:

115.33 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures inmates receive information at time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and facility orientation procedures policies illustrate compliance with this requirement.

The PAQ reported that in the past 12 months, the facility has admitted 4712 inmates.

The auditor interviewed intake staff at the facility who articulated the PREA education process for inmates arriving to the facility. They also explained the process of educating those inmates who transfer in from other facilities.

115.33 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that within 30 days of intake, the facility provides comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents, and regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents.

The PAQ reported over the past 12 months there were 840 inmates, whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more, received comprehensive education on their rights to be free from both sexual abuse and sexual harassment and retaliation for reporting such incidents and on agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents within 30 days of intake.

The auditor interviewed intake staff who articulated the process used by the facility to ensure inmates received the required comprehensive PREA education and that the education was delivered within 30 days.

115.33 (c)

The Yuba County Jail is a single jail that operates under the umbrella of the Yuba County Sheriff's Department. The Yuba County Jail does not have any other holding

facilities under its jurisdiction, therefore there are no transfers between facilities.

The auditor interviewed intake staff who articulated the facility's process of making certain inmates obtain the required PREA educational material.

115.33 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department provides inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, otherwise disabled, as well as to inmates who have limited reading skills. The facility provided written guidance for staff to obtain on-demand interpretation services as well as training materials given to those inmates considered limited English proficient or otherwise disabled. The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 provides written guidance to staff on providing services to this inmate population.

115.33 (e)

The Yuba County Jail maintains documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions through an online agency tracking program and through inmate signature.

115.33 (f)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that key information about the agency's PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Post throughout the facility more robust documentation related the facility's

	<p>inmate PREA education and allegation reporting mechanisms. The facility will need to take pictures of these new “bulletin board” spaces illustrating that all inmates have equal access.</p> <p>Corrective Action Taken:</p> <p>1. The facility created more robust documentation related to the facility’s inmate PREA education and allegation reporting mechanisms. The facility then provided photos illustrating the new PREA signage throughout the facility that is compliant with the requirements of this provision.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.34 Specialized training: Investigations	
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.34</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · National Institute of Corrections Investigating Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings Training Module · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Policy on Training Requirements for Investigators · Investigator training records <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interviews with investigative staff both administrative and criminal <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.34 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Jail requires that investigators are trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. This requirement is illustrated in Yuba County Sheriff’s Department policy on training requirements for investigators.</p>

	<p>The auditor interviewed 2 investigators during the onsite portion of the audit. Interviews consisted of 1 administrative investigator, 1 criminal investigator. All investigators interviewed confirmed they had received specialized training on investigating sexual abuse in confinement setting. All investigators were able to specifically articulate what the specialized training consisted of.</p> <p>115.34 (b)</p> <p>The Yuba County Jail provided specialized training modules which includes techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral.</p> <p>115.34 (c)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department maintains documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations.</p> <p>The PAQ reported there were a total of 66 investigators currently employed by the agency who have completed the required training.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	--

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.35</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Wellpath Policy #36748 Response to Sexual Abuse Policy · Medical and Mental Health Training Records <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interviews with medical and mental health staff <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.35 (a)</p>

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: (1) How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (2) How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; (3) How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and (4) How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This is illustrated in the Wellpath Policy #36748 Response to Sexual Abuse policy.

The PAQ reported there were a total of 45 medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility who received the training required by agency policy.

The PAQ also reported that 100 percent of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility have received the training required by agency policy.

The auditor interviewed a medical and mental health practitioner during the onsite portion of the audit. Both individuals interviewed indicated they received specialized training as required under this provision.

115.35 (b)

The Yuba County Jail does not employ any medical staff at the facility that conduct forensic medical examinations. The facility reported that if there was a need to conduct such examinations, they would be completed at a local hospital.

115.35 (c)

The Yuba County Jail maintains documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere.

115.35 (d)

The Yuba County Jail requires medical and mental health care practitioners receive the training mandated for employees under § 115.31 or for contractors and volunteers under § 115.32, depending upon the practitioner's status at the agency.

This was evidenced by medical and mental health care practitioners training records that were previously reviewed under standards 115.31 and 15.32.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.41

Document Review:

- Yuba County Jail PREA Risk Screening Form
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/14/2025
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/17/2025
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 10/09/2025
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Updated PREA Risk Screening Form
- Examples of Staff Training Specific to Risk Screening Reassessments

Interviews:

- Interviews with risk screening staff
- Interviews with random inmates
- Interview with PREA coordinator

Site Review Observations:

- The auditor attended a live risk screening of an inmate at the facility.
- The auditor confirmed the individuals responsible for conducting the risk screening process.
- The auditor assessed that the screening process occurred in a setting that ensured as much privacy as possible given the potentially sensitive information that could be discussed.
- The auditor assessed that screening staff ask screening questions in a manner that fostered comfort and elicited responses.

- The auditor tested the method of assessing inmates to make certain the screening staff use an instrument to collect information during the risk screening process. Screening staff affirmatively ask inmates in the facility about their sexual orientation and gender identity by directly inquiring if they identify as LGBTI. Screening staff use additional sources of information, outlined in the Standards, to complete the initial risk screening assessment. Completion of the risk screening instrument returns a subsequent “score” or determination of risk of being sexually abused or being sexually abusive.
- The auditor observed the physical storage area of any information/ documentation collected and maintained in hard copy pursuant to the PREA Standards (e.g., risk screening information, medical records, sexual abuse allegations).
- The auditor observed electronic safeguards of any information/documentation collected and maintained electronically pursuant to the PREA Standards.

Findings by Provision:

115.41 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department requires that all inmates be assessed during an intake screening and upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates. This is illustrated Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed a staff member responsible for the inmate risk screening process who confirmed that all inmates coming to the facility regardless of their prior location, are screened risk of being abused or being abusive towards others.

The auditor interviewed a minimum of 26 inmates during the onsite portion of the audit. Overwhelmingly inmates reported they went through a risk screening process when they arrived at the facility. Most inmates reported the risk screening occurred the day they arrived at the facility.

115.41 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that the initial intake screening takes place ordinarily within 72 hours of their arrival at the facility. This is illustrated in the PREA Safe Prison/PREA Plan.

The PAQ reported there were a total of 2745 inmates that entered the facility within the last 12 months and whose length of stay was more than 72 hours. The facility reported that 100 percent of 2745 inmates were screened within 72 hours of their arrival.

The auditor interviewed risk screening staff at the facility who confirmed that risk screening occurs within 72 hours of entering the facility.

The auditor interviewed a minimum of 26 inmates during the onsite portion of the audit. Most inmates interviewed reported receiving a risk screening within 72 hours of enter the facility. It should be noted that because this is a jail, inmates coming into the facility usually come directly from the street. Inmates interviewed repeatedly reported that they were under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol when they arrived at the facility and their memory of what occurred during intake was not complete.

115.41 (c)

The Yuba County Jail conducts a risk assessment using an objective screening instrument. The facility provided a copy of the objective screening instrument which complies with this provision.

115.41 (d)

The Yuba County Jail's risk screening process considers at a minimum, whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (2) The age of the inmate; (3) the physical build of the inmate; (4) whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated; (5) whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent; (6) whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child; (7) whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming; (8) whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability; and (10) whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes.

The auditor interviewed risk screening staff at the facility who confirmed the risk screening tool covers all the required questions under this provision. The risk screening staff shared the physical copy of the risk screening tool with the auditor for review during a live risk screening with an inmate.

115.41 (e)

The Yuba County Jail's risk screening tool considers prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse, as known to the agency, in assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive.

115.41 (f)

The Yuba County Jail requires that the facility reassess each inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness within a set time period, not to exceed 30 days after the inmate's arrival at the facility, based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening.

The auditor was unable to review a policy associated with the requirement to reassess an inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness not to exceed 30 days after intake because it did not exist in policy.

The auditor interviewed staff responsible for the risk screening who confirmed the required to reassess inmate within 30 days.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Provide the auditor with a facility policy that requires that the facility reassess each inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness within a set time period, not to

exceed 30 days after the inmate's arrival at the facility, based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening.

2. The facility will provide examples to the auditor illustrating compliance with the new policy rescreening requirement.

3. Staff responsible for the risk screening reassessment process will be trained on the process and training completion documentation will be provided to the auditor.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention. The policy now complies with all the requirements of this provision.

2. The facility provided the auditor with multiple examples of PREA 30-day risk screening reassessments that were completed after the onsite portion of the audit. The risk screening reassessment examples are compliant with the requirements of this provision.

3. The facility provided the auditor with staff training indicating that classification staff have been appropriately trained on how to conduct the PREA 30-day risk screening reassessments that are compliant with this provision.

115.41 (g)

The Yuba County Jail requires an inmate's risk level shall be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Provide the auditor with a policy that requires that the facility reassess an inmate's risk level due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness.

2. Staff responsible for the risk screening reassessment process will be trained on the process and training completion documentation will be provided to the auditor.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention. The policy now complies with all the requirements of this provision.

2. The facility provided the auditor with staff training indicating that classification staff have been appropriately trained on how to conduct the PREA 30-day risk screening reassessments that are compliant with this provision.

115.41 (h)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department strictly prohibits disciplining inmates for refusing to answer (or for not disclosing complete information related to) questions regarding: (a) whether or not the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (b) whether or not the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming; (c) whether or not the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; and (d) the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. This prohibition is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed staff responsible for the risk screening process who confirmed that inmates are never disciplined for failing to disclose or not providing complete information during the risk screening process.

115.41 (i)

	<p>The PREA coordinator was interviewed regarding who has access to sensitive information related to the risk screening process. The PREA coordinator indicated that they have implemented multiple safeguards to limit unauthorized access to information obtained during the risk screening process.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.42	Use of screening information
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.42</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · Yuba County Jail Initial Custody Assessment Scale · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Classification Policy D-100 <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interviews with staff responsible for risk screening · Interview with the PREA coordinator <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.42 (a)</p>

The Yuba County Jail uses information from the risk screening required by § 115.41 to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those offenders at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. This is partially illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed the facility PREA coordinator who explained the process the facility uses to separate those offenders at high risk of victimization from those offenders at high risk of being sexually abusive. Housing assignments, bed assignments, work assignments, education assignments and programs assignments are all part of the risk screening process.

The auditor interviewed a staff member responsible for the risk screening process who explained how the facility makes individualized determinations to ensure inmate safety.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Update facility policy that specifically addresses how information from the risk screening required by §115.41 is used to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updates to Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention, that now complies with all the requirements of this provision.

115.42 (b)

The Yuba County Jail requires the facility make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each offender. This is illustrated The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

115.42 (c)

In accordance with guidance issued by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, on December 2, 2025, no compliance determination shall be made in relation to PREA Standard 115.42 (c).

115.42 (d)

In accordance with guidance issued by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, on December 2, 2025, no compliance determination shall be made in relation to PREA Standard 115.42 (c).

115.42 (e)

In accordance with guidance issued by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, on December 2, 2025, no compliance determination shall be made in relation to PREA Standard 115.42 (c).

115.42 (f)

In accordance with guidance issued by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, on December 2, 2025, no compliance determination shall be made in relation to PREA Standard 115.42 (c).

115.42 (g)

In accordance with guidance issued by the Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, on December 2, 2025, no compliance determination shall be made in

	<p>relation to PREA Standard 115.42 (c).</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.43	Protective Custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.43</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Administrative Separation Policy D-106 <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with the facility captain · Interviews with staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.43 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department prohibits the placement of inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Administrative Separation Policy D-106.</p> <p>The PAQ reported there were a total of zero inmates at risk of sexual victimization who were held in involuntary segregated housing in the past 12 months awaiting completion of assessment.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed the facility captain who also confirmed that inmates are prohibited by policy to be placed in segregated housing because they have been identified at risk of sexual victimization.</p> <p>115.43 (b)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that inmates placed in segregated housing for this purpose shall have access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible. If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, the facility shall document: (1) the</p>

opportunities that have been limited; (2) the duration of the limitation; and (3) the reasons for such limitations. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Administrative Separation Policy D-106.

The auditor interviewed staff members that supervise inmates in segregated housing. Staff indicated that if an inmate was placed in segregated housing for risk of victimization, they would still be afforded the same out of cell, work, education and programming opportunities as other inmates.

115.43 (c)

The PAQ reported that in the past 12 months the facility had zero inmates at risk of sexual victimization that were assigned to segregated housing for longer than 30 days while awaiting alternative placement.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain who confirmed that if inmates are placed in segregated housing they are only placed there until alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged.

115.43 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that every 30 days, the facility shall afford each such inmate a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Administrative Separation Policy D-106.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.

115.51	Inmate reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.51</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 <li>· The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Draft Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy

- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/28/2025
- Zero Tolerance Postings in Both English and Spanish
- The Yuba County Jail Inmate Handbook
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 5/12/2025
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/28/2025
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 10/01/2025
- Staff Training Examples
- Executed Memorandum of Understanding with Casa De Esperanza

Interviews:

- Interviews with random staff
- Interviews with random inmates
- Interview with the facility PREA coordinator

Site Review Observations:

- The auditor observed signage throughout the facility can be easily read/accessed by persons in the facility. Specifically, signage related to services, such as emotional support services, civil immigration, and external reporting, should include language that clearly details what services are available and for what purposes. Signage is provided in English and Spanish. The signage text size, formatting, and physical placement accommodates most readers, including those of average height, low vision/visually impaired, or those physically disabled/in a wheelchair. The information provided by the signage is not obscured, unreadable by graffiti, or missing due to damage.
- The auditor observed whether the information on the signage is accurate and consistent throughout the facility.
- The auditor observed where signage is placed in the facility to assess whether the signage is accessible to staff and/or those confined in the facility and other persons who may need the information or services provided. Specifically, how to report sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.
- The auditor tested all available procedures inmates have available to report

instances of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, both verbally and in writing.

- The auditor assessed the accessibility of writing instruments for persons confined in the facility.
- The auditor observed how mail moves from confined persons to the mailroom and assessed whether placement of mail drop boxes/receptacles are located in areas accessible to all persons confined in the facility.
- The auditor assessed the security of written communication.
- The auditor tested a staff person to walk through the staff reporting method(s) provided by the facility.
- The auditor observed whether the staff reporting method is available, on demand, to all staff in the facility.
- The auditor assessed whether staff are required to report to their direct colleagues or their immediate supervisor.

Findings by Provision:

115.51 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for inmates to report privately to agency officials about: (a) sexual abuse or sexual harassment; (b) retaliation by other inmate or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and (c) staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Jail Inmate Handbook.

The auditor minimally interviewed 12 random staff throughout the facility.

Overwhelmingly, staff were able to articulate the multiple methods that inmates at the facility have the ability to privately report incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The auditor interviewed at least 26 inmates during the onsite portion of the audit.

Almost all inmates interviewed were able to articulate several ways to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment to the facility.

During the site review process the auditor tested the inmate phone lines throughout the facility. During the test calls, it was discovered that inmates were required to enter personally identifying information and it was also not clear if these calls could be monitored by staff throughout the facility.

During the site review process the auditor tested the mailing procedures inmates utilized at the facility. The facility reported that there were not dedicated inmate mailboxes. The process for sending mail required the inmate population to hand letters directly to line staff and those line staff were then authorized to open and scan letters for contraband.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 of this report the facility will:

1. Analyze the inmate calling procedures and policy. The facility must provide transparency to the inmate population about the expectations of privacy when calling outside emotional support agencies.
2. Analyze the facility mailing procedures for the inmate population. Mail provided directly to line staff members that are expected to scan outgoing mail cannot be considered confidential.
3. The facility must adopt new processes and procedures related to the telephone and mail confidentiality. Existing policies and procedures will need to be updated to mirror the expectation of the standard and its provisions.
4. Existing staff will need to be trained on the new procedures once adopted. Staff training records will be provided to the auditor as proof documentation.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updates to Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention, as well as updates to the inmate handbook that

provide transparency to the inmate population about privacy expectations.

2. The facility installed dedicated inmate mailboxes throughout the facility that are locked and can only be accessed by authorized personnel. The facility also provided photos to the auditor as proof of installation and locking procedures.

3. The facility provided the auditor with updates to Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention, which complies with the requirements of this provision related to handling and confidentiality of information forwarded by the inmate population.

4. The facility provided training logs for all staff members who have successfully completed training related to inmate reporting and updates to the inmate handbooks.

115.51 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department currently does not provide at least one way for inmates to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency, and that is able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials, allowing the inmate to remain anonymous upon request.

During the pre-onsite phase of the audit, the auditor discovered that the facility did not have a dedicated way for inmates to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity that is not part of the facility. During the onsite portion of the audit, this was discussed with facility staff to organize a plan to obtain a reporting entity that is not part of the facility.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Establish a memorandum of understanding or agreement with an outside entity

that is not part of the facility.

2. Update policy and/or procedures related to the new outside reporting entity.
3. Educate staff and the inmate population about the new outside reporting entity.
4. Update inmate educational materials and postings to reflect the access that inmates have to the outside reporting entity.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with an updated memorandum of understanding with Casa De Esperanza that is compliant with all the requirements of this provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with updated Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention that is compliant with the requirements of this provision.
3. The facility provided the auditor with training logs for staff members who have successfully completed training specific to the private entity Casa De Esperanza.
4. The facility provided the auditor with inmate educational materials and materials posted throughout the facility advising the population of the availability of Casa De Esperanza as a reporting mechanism.

115.51 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and shall promptly document any verbal reports. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor minimally interviewed 12 random staff members, all of which reported that inmates can make reports either verbally, in writing or from third parties. Staff also conveyed that all verbal reports made by inmates must be documented and that this information must be documented immediately.

115.51 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department dictates that the facility has established procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. This is illustrated in draft Prison Rape Elimination Act policy and a memorandum dated 7/14/2025.

The auditor minimally interviewed 12 random staff throughout the facility. Most staff were aware that there was a private way to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment outside their chain-of-command but most reported they would still report incidents locally.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Update existing policies and procedures to include ways that staff can privately report outside of their chain-of-command. Updated policies should be provided to the auditor for review and as proof documentation.
2. Train existing staff on the changes to policies and procedures related to this provision.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updates to Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention, which provides clear direction to staff on methods they can report allegations of sexual abuse or harassment outside of their chain-of-command.

	<p>2. The facility provided training rosters of staff member indicating that they have been trained on available methods for reporting incidents of sexual abuse and harassment outside of their immediate chain-of-command.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.52	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.52</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Grievance Policy H-100 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/14/2025 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/30/2025 · Pictures of PREA Documentation Posted · Inmate Handbooks in English and Spanish · Staff Training Records <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The auditor observed signage throughout the facility can be easily read/accessed by persons in the facility. Specifically, signage related to services, such as emotional support services, civil immigration, and external reporting, should include language that clearly details what services are available and for what purposes. Signage is

provided in English and Spanish. The signage text size, formatting, and physical placement accommodates most readers, including those of average height, low vision/visually impaired, or those physically disabled/in a wheelchair. The information provided by the signage is not obscured, unreadable by graffiti, or missing due to damage.

- The auditor observed whether the information on the signage is accurate and consistent throughout the facility.
- The auditor observed where signage is placed in the facility to assess whether the signage is accessible to staff and/or those confined in the facility and other persons who may need the information or services provided. Specifically, how to report sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.
- The auditor tested all available procedures inmates have available to report instances of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, both verbally and in writing.
- The auditor observed if the third-party reporting mechanism was posted in public areas of the facility that can be accessed by family members, friends, advocates, and attorneys.
- The auditor tested the third-party reporting mechanism by submitting a test report through the agency's external website.
- The auditor confirmed the method(s) to submit third-party reports is easily accessible and understandable and can be found in reasonably conspicuous and appropriate locations.
- The auditor confirmed that the third-party reporting method is not the general contact information for the facility, but is specific to reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment in the facility.
- The auditor verified the facility has a process for receiving third-party reports.

Findings by Provision:

115.52 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department has an administrative procedure for dealing with inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Grievance Policy H-100.

115.52 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department does not impose a time limit on when an inmate may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Grievance Policy H-100. Additionally, the agency does not require an inmate to use an informal grievance process, or otherwise to attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. This is also illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Grievance Policy H-100.

115.52 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department allows an inmate to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Grievance Policy H-100.

115.52 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the filing of the grievance. The facility also requires that the inmate be notified in writing if there are any extensions and provide a date by which a decision will be made. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Grievance Policy H-100.

The PAQ reported that in the last 12 months there was a total of zero grievances filed by inmates alleging sexual abuse. There were no extensions filed by the facility because a decision was not reached within 90 days.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.52 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department permits third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse and to file such requests on behalf of inmates. Additionally, it requires that if an inmate declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse, the agency documents the inmate's decision to decline.

The PAQ reported that in the last 12 months there was a total of zero grievances filed by inmates in which the inmate declined third-party assistance, containing documentation of the inmate's decision to decline.

The auditor was provided memorandums outlining the recommended changes to existing policies to incorporate the required language under this provision. Currently policy does not support the requirements of this provision.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Update existing policies and procedures that comply with all the requirements of this provision. The updated policies will be provided to the auditor for review.
2. Train existing staff on the updated policies and procedures related to this provision.
3. Update existing inmate handbooks and/or educational materials to incorporate the recent changes.
4. Post throughout the facility more robust documentation related the facility's inmate PREA education and allegation reporting mechanisms. The facility will need to

take pictures of these new “bulletin board” spaces illustrating that all inmates access to third-party reporting mechanisms.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention that is now compliant with all the requirements of this provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with staff training examples specific inmates exhaustion of administrative remedies.
3. The facility provided the auditor with updated inmate handbooks that incorporate the changes to the inmate grievance policy related to allegations of sexual abuse.
4. The facility provided the auditor with pictures of the updated and more robust inmate reporting procedures that is now compliant with the requirements of this provision.

115.52 (f)

The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department has established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Inmate Grievance Policy H-100. These policies require that after receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the facility shall immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken, shall provide an initial response within 48 hours, and shall issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days. The initial response and final agency decision documents the agency’s determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency grievance.

	<p>The PAQ reported that over the past 12 months they have received a total of zero emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.</p> <p>115.52 (g)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department mandates may discipline an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse only where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The PAQ reported that in the last 12 months there was a total of zero inmates who filed grievances alleging sexual abuse that resulted in disciplinary action by the agency against the inmate for having filed the grievance in bad faith.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	--

115.53	Inmate access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.53</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Jail PREA Pamphlet · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/14/2025 · Operational Agreement Between Yuba County Sheriff's Department and Casa de Esperanza · Executed Memorandum of Understanding with Casa De Esperanza

- Pictures of Bulletin Board Space
- Updated PREA Postings
- Staff Training Examples

Interviews:

- Interviews with random inmates

Site Review Observations:

- The auditor observed signage throughout the facility can be easily read/accessed by persons in the facility. Specifically, signage related to services, such as emotional support services, civil immigration, and external reporting, should include language that clearly details what services are available and for what purposes. Signage is provided in English and Spanish. The signage text size, formatting, and physical placement accommodates most readers, including those of average height, low vision/visually impaired, or those physically disabled/in a wheelchair. The information provided by the signage is not obscured, unreadable by graffiti, or missing due to damage.
- The auditor observed whether the information on the signage is accurate and consistent throughout the facility.
- The auditor observed where signage is placed in the facility to assess whether the signage is accessible to staff and/or those confined in the facility and other persons who may need the information or services provided. Specifically, how to report sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.
- The auditor assessed whether all persons confined in the facility have regular access to phones to contact the outside emotional support service provider(s), including for persons confined in restricted housing, and have reasonable accommodations.
- The auditor assessed how the facility provides access to phones that are unmonitored or allow for privacy (e.g., medical or mental health unit) or otherwise provides a way for persons confined in the facility to correspond with outside emotional support services confidentially.
- The auditor assessed how inmates had access to outside emotional support via mail.

Findings by Provision:

115.53 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department provides inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations. The facility enables reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104, The Yuba County Jail PREA Pamphlet and operational agreement between The Yuba County Sheriff's Department and Casa de Esperanza.

The auditor interviewed a minimum of 26 inmates throughout the facility. Inmates overwhelmingly reported knowledge of ongoing support for victims of sexual abuse that were offered by the facility. The auditor learned through conversations with the inmates that they learned about Casa de Esperanza because of their presence in the facility and not because they saw information posted about abundantly in the facility.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Post throughout the facility more robust documentation related the what services are available to the inmate population related to ongoing emotional support. Specifically, that the facility has a telephone number available to Casa de Esperanza and a telephone number and mailing address to The Yuba County Victim Witness Assistance Office. Postings should be clear, easy to read and available to those who are limited English proficient and have disabilities.
2. The facility will take pictures of the new "bulletin board" space and provide them to the auditor as proof documentation. This is especially important in those areas that are isolated and has limited areas to post documentation easily.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated PREA reporting documentation and information specific to receiving ongoing emotional support services by telephone and in writing. The pictures and documentation provided by the facility was more robust and available to inmates considered limited English proficient and those who are considered disabled.
2. The facility provided the auditor with multiple examples of the more robust bulletin board space as proof documentation of the changes made throughout the facility.

115.53 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department informs inmates, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communications will be monitored. Additionally, the facility informs inmates, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality under relevant federal, state, or local law. These requirements are partially outlined in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

During the site review process the auditor tested the inmate phone lines throughout the facility. During the test calls, it was discovered that inmates were required to enter personally identifying information and it was also not clear if these calls could be monitored by staff throughout the facility.

During the site review process the auditor tested the mailing procedures inmates utilized at the facility. The facility reported that there were not dedicated inmate mailboxes. The process for sending mail required the inmate population to hand letters directly to line staff and those line staff were then authorized to open and scan letters for contraband.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 of this report the facility will:

1. Analyze the inmate calling procedures and policy. The facility must provide transparency to the inmate population about the expectations of privacy when calling outside emotional support agencies.
2. Analyze the facility mailing procedures for the inmate population. Mail provided directly to line staff members that are expected to scan outgoing mail cannot be considered confidential.
3. The facility must adopt new processes and procedures related to the telephone and mail confidentiality. Existing policies and procedures will need to be updated to mirror the expectation of the standard and its provisions.
4. Existing staff will need to be trained on the new procedures once adopted. Staff training records will be provided to the auditor as proof documentation.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updates to Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention, as well as updates to the inmate handbook that provide transparency to the inmate population about privacy expectations.
2. The facility installed dedicated inmate mailboxes throughout the facility that are locked and can only be accessed by authorized personnel. The facility also provided photos to the auditor as proof of installation and locking procedures.
3. The facility provided the auditor with updates to Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention, which complies with the requirements of this provision related to handling and confidentiality of information forwarded by the inmate population.
4. The facility provided training logs for all staff members who have successfully completed training related to inmate reporting and updates to the inmate handbooks.

	<p>115.53 (c)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department has an existing memorandum of understanding with Casa de Esperanza, that provides inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The facility also has access to ongoing emotional support through The Yuba County Victim Witness Assistance Office. These services are available both by telephone and a mailing address.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	--

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.54</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Public Webpage PREA Information Page · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · Pictures of PREA Signage Intended for Public Viewing <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The auditor observed signage throughout the facility can be easily read/accessed by persons in the facility. Specifically, signage related to services, such as emotional support services, civil immigration, and external reporting, should include language that clearly details what services are available and for what purposes. Signage is

provided in English and Spanish. The signage text size, formatting, and physical placement accommodates most readers, including those of average height, low vision/visually impaired, or those physically disabled/in a wheelchair. The information provided by the signage is not obscured, unreadable by graffiti, or missing due to damage.

- The auditor observed whether the information on the signage is accurate and consistent throughout the facility.
- The auditor observed where signage is placed in the facility to assess whether the signage is accessible to staff and/or those confined in the facility and other persons who may need the information or services provided. Specifically, how to report sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.
- The auditor tested all available procedures inmates have available to report instances of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, both verbally and in writing.
- The auditor observed if the third-party reporting mechanism was posted in public areas of the facility that can be accessed by family members, friends, advocates, and attorneys.
- The auditor tested the third-party reporting mechanism by submitting a test report through the facility's provided phone number to the public.
- The auditor confirmed the method(s) to submit third-party reports is easily accessible and understandable and can be found in reasonably conspicuous and appropriate locations.
- The auditor confirmed that the third-party reporting method is not the general contact information for the facility, but is specific to reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment in the facility.
- The auditor verified the facility has a process for receiving third-party reports.

Findings by Provision:

115.54 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department has established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and shall distribute publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate. This information is posted on the facility's external website, which was easy to locate and provide 2 separate numbers that were forwarded at request to the facility PREA coordinator.

During the site review portion of the audit, the auditor did not observe signage at the facility in visitation areas or other areas that members of the public could easily read and understand the process of reporting incidents back to the facility.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Create signage that clearly outlines the facility's third-party reporting mechanism.
2. The signage with this information should be posted in visitation areas and areas throughout the facility that members of the public would have access to. This signage should be posted in both English and Spanish, the second most commonly used language at the facility.
3. The facility shall take pictures of the new signage and where that signage is posted as proof documentation of its completion.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated signage clearly illustrating the facility's third-party reporting mechanism.
2. The facility provided the auditor with pictures of reporting signage that is posted in visitation areas and throughout the facility where members of the public have access.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.

115.61	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.61</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · Administrative Investigation Examples <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with the facility captain · Interview with the PREA coordinator · Interviews with random staff · Interviews with medical and mental health staff <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The auditor tested staff by having them walk through the staff reporting method(s) provided by the facility. · The auditor observed the staff reporting method was available, on demand, to all staff in the facility. · The auditor assessed whether staff are required to report to their direct colleagues or their immediate supervisor. <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.61 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency; retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed at least 12 random staff throughout the facility during the onsite portion of the audit. All staff interviewed indicated they were aware and were required to report all instances of sexual abuse, sexual harassment or instances of</p>

retaliation to their chain-of-command.

115.61 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in facility policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed at least 12 random staff throughout the facility. All staff articulated requirement to immediately report incidents of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation. They also explained the requirement to report to their immediate chain-of-command and the requirement to maintain confidentiality with PREA related incidents.

115.61 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires all medical and mental health practitioners be required to report sexual abuse and to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services.

The auditor interviewed a medical staff member and mental health staff member during the onsite portion of the audit. Both staff member acknowledged that they explain to inmates when they provide services, of their duty to report instances of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

115.61 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department does not house individuals under the age of 18 or those who are considered vulnerable adults. This was verified by direct auditor observations, inmate rosters provided to the auditor, informal conversations with random staff, the facility captain and PREA coordinator.

115.61 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain. The captain articulated that all incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are reported to facility investigators and criminal investigators on the sheriff's side if the incident rises to the level of criminal behavior no matter the origin, with no exception.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.

115.62	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.62</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with the facility captain · Interviews with random staff <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.62 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires when the facility learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the inmate. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The PAQ reported there were a total of zero times in the past 12 months that the facility determined that an inmate was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.</p> <p>The PAQ also reported that if the facility became aware of the imminent sexual abuse, they would take immediate action to protect the inmate.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed the facility captain who articulated the facility's response protocol once they become aware an inmate may be in imminent danger of sexual abuse.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed at least 12 random staff throughout the facility. All staff articulated the facility's coordinated response plan to protect the inmate and protect potential evidence.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>

115.63	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.63

Document Review:

- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 5/21/2025
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/29/2025
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104

Interviews:

- Interview with the facility captain

Findings by Provision:

115.63 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility that received the allegation shall notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred. This requirement is illustrated in the recommended change memos provided by the facility.

The PAQ reported that within the last 12 months the facility handled zero allegations where an inmate alleged, they were sexual abused while housed in another facility.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate recommended changes into existing and applicable policies, ensuring to include provision specific language.
2. Train existing personnel on the adjustments and changes to policy to ensure the practice becomes institutionalized.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updates to Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention that is compliant with the requirements of this provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with staff training records specific with the new requirements outlined in Policy D-104.

115.63 (b) (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that notifications to other facilities be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation and that the notification will be made in writing. This mandate is illustrated in the recommended change memos provided by the facility.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate recommended changes into existing and applicable policies, ensuring to include provision specific language.

2. Train existing personnel on the adjustments and changes to policy to ensure the practice becomes institutionalized.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updates to Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention that is compliant with the requirements of this provision.

2. The facility provided the auditor with staff training records specific with the new requirements outlined in Policy D-104.

115.63 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires facility head or facility office that received such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards. This is illustrated in Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104

The PAQ reported there were a total of zero allegations of sexual abuse the facility received from other facilities within the last 12 months.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain who articulated the process the facility utilizes when they receive allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment from other facilities. He explained that the facility implements the same protocols and investigative process as with all PREA allegations.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.64

Document Review:

- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 5/13/2025
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/14/2025

Interviews:

- Interviews random security staff

Findings by Provision:

115.64 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall be required to: (1) separate the alleged victim and abuser; (2) preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence; (3) if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and (4) if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The PAQ reported that within the last 12 months the facility handled 2 allegations that an inmate was sexually abused. Of those instances there was 1 time when the security staff member separated the alleged victim and the abuser.

The PAQ also reported within the last 12 months there were a total of zero instances when a staff member was notified within a time period that still allowed for the collection of physical evidence.

The auditor interviewed at least 12 random staff throughout the facility who acted as first responders to an allegation of sexual abuse. Not all 12 staff members were immediate first responders but the auditor wanted to test all staff on their ability to articulate the facility's coordinated response to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All staff interviewed were able to explain their duties and

	<p>responsibilities when they are presented with allegations of sexual abuse in compliance with this provision.</p> <p>The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.</p> <p>115.64 (b)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, the responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The PAQ reported that over the last 12 months were a total of zero instances when a non-security staff member was the first responder to an allegation of sexual abuse.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed at least 12 random staff throughout the facility who articulated the facility's coordinated response plan to instances of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff interviewed were able to break down each step of the process in a manner that fully complied with all requirements under this provision.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	--

115.65	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.65</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Jail Coordinated Response Plan <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with the facility captain <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.65 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Jail has a written facility plan to coordinate actions taken in response</p>

	<p>to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. This is illustrated by the Yuba County Jail coordinated response plan and as part of Jail Policy Manual D-104 provided by the facility.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed the facility captain. The warden explained in detail the facility's coordinated response plan related to all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. He also explained the specific duties of all entities involved when an inmate reports a PREA related incident.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	--

115.66	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.66</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum of Understanding with The Yuba County Law Enforcement Management Supervisory Association <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with facility captain <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.66 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that neither the facility nor any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf enters into or renews any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted. This was evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum of Understanding with The Yuba County Law Enforcement Management Supervisory Association. Compliance was also determined through an interview with the facility captain and agreements provided with authorized labor organizations.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard</p>

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <hr/> <p>115.67</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/29/2025 · Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/17/2025 · Review of Investigations that Included Retaliation Monitoring Examples <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with the facility captain · Interview with staff that monitor retaliation <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.67 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department protects all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff, and shall designate which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation. This is illustrated The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and draft Yuba County Sheriff's Department Prison Rape Elimination Act policy.</p>

The PAQ reported that the Yuba County Jail designates the PREA coordinator as the individuals responsible for monitoring retaliation.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Identify the individual at the facility responsible for retaliation monitoring. This is typically done by either the PREA coordinator, compliance manager or someone in the chain-of-command with high enough authority to review all the requirements of this provision. This individual will then need to speak directly to inmates and staff and document that that conversation occurred.
2. The facility must make permanent adjustments to applicable policies ensuring all elements of the provision are covered.
3. The facility must train appropriate personnel on the new process for monitoring retaliation to ensure the process becomes institutionalized.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention. In the policy, the facility clearly outlines that the PREA coordinator is responsible for retaliation monitoring.
2. The facility provided the auditor with training records indicating that staff have been appropriately trained on the changes to the retaliation monitoring process.

115.67 (b) (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department employs multiple protection measures, such as

housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain who reported that any type of retaliation against inmates or staff was strictly prohibited. The captain articulated the full retaliation process that is afforded for inmates in the facility, which included periodic check-ins with the inmates up until 90 days or longer if necessary unless the investigation was determined to be unfounded.

The auditor interviewed one of the staff members charged with retaliation monitoring. He fully articulated the process He utilizes to ensure retaliation against inmates is monitored and handled appropriately as required by policy.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.67 (c) (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse monitors the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff, and shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. The Yuba County Sheriff's Department continues such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. This is illustrated in the Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/17/2025.

The PAQ reported that over the last 12 months there have been zero instances of retaliation reported by either inmates or staff.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain who reported that any instances of retaliation by either inmate or staff would be swiftly investigated and handled appropriately.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.

115.68 Post-allegation protective custody

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.68

Document Review:

- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Administrative Separation Policy D-106

Interviews:

- Interview with the facility captain
- Interviews with staff that supervise inmate in segregated housing

Findings by Provision:

115.68 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department prohibits the placement of inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers.

This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Administrative Separation Policy D-106.

The PAQ reported there were a total of zero inmates who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse who were held in involuntary segregation in the past 12 months for 24 hours awaiting completion of assessment.

The PAQ also reported there were a total of zero inmates who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse who were assigned to involuntary segregated housing in the past 12 months for longer than 30 days while awaiting alternative placement.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain who confirmed that inmates are not placed in involuntary segregated housing in lieu of other housing areas. He reported that risk assessments are done with urgency to determine the likelihood of potential

	<p>abuse. The warden further explained there were no recent examples of inmates being placed in involuntary segregation for alleging sexual abuse because any inmates would have been in that environment for a very short period of time.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed a staff member who supervises inmates in segregated housing. The staff member explained that if an inmate is placed in segregated housing for protection from sexual abuse or having alleged sexual abuse, they still have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities. They also confirmed that if inmates are placed in segregation, the length of time is very limited. They confirmed that per policy, an inmate would receive a placement review every 30 days if that circumstance were to exist.</p> <p>The auditor was unable to interview any inmates that alleged sexual abuse at the facility during the onsite portion of the audit because any that previously were housed there had since been released.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	---

115.71	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.71</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604 · Administrative Investigation Samples <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interviews with investigative staff both criminal and administrative · Interview with the facility warden · Interview with the PREA coordinator <p>Site Review Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The auditor observed the physical storage area of any information/ documentation collected and maintained in hard copy pursuant to the PREA

Standards.

- The auditor observed electronic safeguards of any information/documentation collected and maintained electronically pursuant to the PREA Standards.

Findings by Provision:

115.71 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires when it conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, it shall do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604.

The auditor interviewed 2 investigative staff members during the onsite portion of the audit. Both investigative staff members reported that allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are immediately initiated when reported. Investigative staff also articulated the process of handling third party or anonymous reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, which is not handled any differently than any other allegation related to PREA.

115.71 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires the agency shall use investigators who have received special training in sexual abuse investigations pursuant to § 115.34. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed 2 investigative staff members during the onsite portion of the audit. Both investigators reported they had received specialized training on conducting investigations in confinement settings which included techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative or prosecution referral.

115.71 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that Investigators shall gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator. These requirements are illustrated The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604.

The auditor interviewed 2 investigative staff members during the onsite portion of the audit. Both investigators articulated how a criminal or administrative investigation is

initiated. This included a prompt initiation of the process, conducting interviews, preserving evidence and creating a complete and comprehensive report of their findings.

115.71 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that when the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the facility shall conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

115.71 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person's status as inmate or staff. The facility shall not require an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

Interviews were conducted with 2 investigative staff during the onsite portions of the audit. All investigators spoke to the importance of completing credibility assessments for alleged victims and alleged perpetrators in an investigation. Both investigators stated they would never require an inmate to submit to polygraph or truth-telling device as a condition of proceeding with an investigation.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.71 (f)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that administrative investigations: (1) include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse; and (2) shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604.

The auditor interviewed 1 administrative investigator during the onsite portion of the audit. The investigator articulated the actions they take during their investigation to determine if staff actions or failures contributed to an abuse, that they document all investigations in a report, which always includes a description of physical and

testimonial evidence.

115.71 (g)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires criminal investigations be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. These requirements are outlined in These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604.

115.71 (h)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal shall be referred for prosecution. This requirement is outlined in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The PAQ reported that since the last PREA audit there has been 1 substantiated allegation of conduct that appeared to be criminal that were referred for prosecution.

115.71 (i)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that the agency retain all written reports referenced in paragraphs (f) and (g) of this section for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Assault Investigations Policy 604.

115.71 (j)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department mandates that the departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed 2 investigative staff all of which confirmed they would be required to complete an investigation of sexual abuse regardless if a staff member terminated employment prior to the conclusion of the investigation.

115.71 (l)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires the facility staff to cooperate with outside investigators and shall endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation. These requirements are outlined in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and

	interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.
--	---

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.72</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 • Administrative investigations <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interviews with investigative staff <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.72 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department does impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. This requirement is illustrated in the • The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed 1 administrative investigator and 1 criminal investigator during the onsite portion of the audit. Both investigators were aware that preponderance of evidence was the standard of proof for administrative investigations.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p>

115.73

Document Review:

- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 4/29/2025
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/10/2025
- Yuba County Sheriff's Department Investigative Findings and Responsive Actions Notification (Updated)
- Investigation Examples

Interviews:

- Interview with facility captain
- Interviews with investigative staff

Findings by Provision:

115.73 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires following an investigation into an offender's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, the agency shall inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. This requirement is outlined in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The PAQ reported there were a total of 2 criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged inmate sexual abuse that were completed by the facility in the past 12 months.

The PAQ also reported that 1 inmate was notified, verbally or in writing, of the results of the investigation.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain who reported the facility always attempts to notify inmates of the outcome of their investigation as long as they remain in their custody.

The auditor interviewed 2 investigative staff during the onsite portion of the audit. Both investigators confirmed that inmates are always provided the outcome of a PREA investigation upon its conclusion as long as they remain in their custody.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.73 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department completes criminal investigations related to sexual abuse for the agency. The Yuba County Sheriff's Department provides information from the investigation to the facility in order to inform the offender of outcome.

The PAQ reported there was a total of zero investigations that were conducted by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department within the last 12 months.

115.73 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that following an inmates allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate the facility shall subsequently inform the inmate (unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever: (1) The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; (2) The staff member is no longer employed at the facility; (3) The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual

abuse within the facility; or (4) The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

The facility provided The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Investigative Findings and Responsive Actions Notification as proof documentation. However, some of the required elements outlined in the provision are not covered in the notification document.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Analyze the Yuba County Sheriff's Department Investigative Findings and Responsive Actions Notification form and adjust the form to include the requirement outlined above. Adjust the notification form to include all the requirements outlined in the provision.
2. Ensure that staff are appropriately trained on the new form, including the appropriate times and intervals to serve the notification.

The auditor conducted an interview with the facility captain who confirmed offenders are always provided notification of outcome of a PREA related investigation as long as they remain in their custody.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with an updated version of the Yuba County Jail Division Notification of Investigation Status and Investigative Findings and Responsive Actions Notification that now complies with the requirements of this provision.

115.73 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, the facility shall subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: (1) The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or (2) The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Analyze the Yuba County Sheriff's Department Investigative Findings and Responsive Actions Notification form and adjust the form to include the requirement outlined above. Adjust the notification form to include all the requirements outlined in the provision.
2. Ensure that staff are appropriately trained on the new form, including the appropriate times and intervals to serve the notification.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with an updated version of the Yuba County Jail Division Notification of Investigation Status and Investigative Findings and Responsive Actions Notification that now complies with the requirements of this provision.

115.73 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires all notifications or attempted notifications to inmates be documented. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

	<p>The PAQ reported there were a total of 1 notification to inmates that were provided pursuant to this standard and that all notifications were documented.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.76</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 4/30/2025 <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.76 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>115.76 (b)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department dictates that termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. This is evidenced by information contained in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The PAQ reported that in the past 12 months there has been zero staff members who has been terminated or resigned prior to termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.</p> <p>115.76 (c)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the</p>

	<p>sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The PAQ reported in the past twelve months there have been zero employees from the facility who have been disciplined, short of termination, for violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.</p> <p>115.76 (d)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department requires that all terminations for violations of facility sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The PAQ reported that in the past 12 months there has been zero staff members that have been reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following their termination (or resignation prior to termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	---

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.77</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Memorandum Dated 4/30/2025 <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with the facility captain <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.77 (a)</p>

	<p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse is prohibited from contact with inmates and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.</p> <p>The PAQ reported that in the past 12 months there have been zero contractors or volunteers have been reported to law enforcement agencies and relevant licensing bodies for engaging in sexual abuse of inmates.</p> <p>115.77 (b)</p> <p>The PAQ reported that the facility takes appropriate remedial measures, and considers whether to prohibit further contact with inmates, in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer. The PAQ also reported the facility has had zero instances of this type of conduct from contractors or volunteers.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed the facility captain. The captain explained that a volunteer or contractor would not be permitted, at least temporarily, from entering the facility until the investigation is completed.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	---

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.78</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Discipline Policy H-102 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 4/30/2025 · Wellpath Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 36748

- Administrative Investigation Files

Interviews:

- Interview with the facility captain
- Interviews with medical/mental health staff

Findings by Provision:

115.78 (a) (b) (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department dictates that inmates shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. These requirements are illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Discipline Policy H-102.

The PAQ reported that in the last 12 months there was a total of 2 administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse that occurred at the facility.

The PAQ also reported that in the last 12 months there was a total of 1 criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse that occurred at the facility.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain. The warden indicated that sanctions imposed on inmates are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories. The captain also articulated that the disciplinary process shall consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

115.78 (d)

The PAQ reported that the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. Additionally, the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse, the facility considers whether to require the inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming or other benefits.

The auditor interviewed medical/mental health staff members during the onsite portion of the audit. Medical staff confirmed the facility offers therapy, counseling and other interventions to inmates required under this provision. They also confirmed that inmates are not required to participate in these interventions as a condition of access to programming or other benefits.

115.78 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department dictates that the facility may discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Inmate Discipline Policy H-102.

115.78 (f)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

115.78 (g)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and may discipline inmates for such activity. An agency does not, however, deem

such activity to constitute sexual abuse if it determines that the activity is not coerced.

The policy provided by the facility does not clearly outline the requirement that if sexual activity is prohibited between inmates, and disciplines inmates for such activity, the facility deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Analyze the current policy related to this provision.
2. Include language in the policy that mirrors what is required by the provision.
3. Ensure that staff are appropriately trained on the changes to policy that were implemented.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention D-104, which now clearly prohibits sexual activity between inmates at the facility.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

115.81

Document Review:

- Wellpath Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 36748
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 4/30/2025
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 5/01/2025
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/15/2025
- Wellpath Yuba County Specific Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 77033
- Medical and Mental Health Secondary Materials Examples
- Staff Training Examples

Interviews:

- Interviews with inmates that disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening
- Interviews with staff responsible for risk screening
- Interviews with medical and mental health staff

Site Review Observations:

- The auditor observed the physical storage area of any information/documentation collected and maintained in hard copy pursuant to the PREA Standards.
- The auditor observed electronic safeguards of any information/documentation collected and maintained electronically pursuant to the PREA Standards.

Findings by Provision:

115.81 (a) (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that if the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison/jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104, Wellpath Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 36748 and Wellpath Yuba County Specific Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 77033.

The PAQ reported that within the last 12 months 100 percent of inmates who disclosed prior victimization during screening were offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner.

The auditor interviewed inmates who reported prior victimization during the risk screening process. Overwhelmingly inmates reported being offered a follow-up meeting with medical/mental health after their interview.

The auditor interviewed staff responsible for the risk screening process. Risk screening staff articulated to the auditor the process when risk screening inmates and if an inmate disclosed prior sexual victimization. Risk screening staff indicated that inmates were always offered a follow-up appointment with medical and mental health staff.

115.81 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that if the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that an inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104, Wellpath Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 36748 and Wellpath Yuba County Specific Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 77033.

115.81 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is strictly limited to informing security and management decisions, including treatment plans, housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by federal, state, or local law.

Currently the facility does not have the requirement of this provision written into its operational policies.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Incorporate the required language of this provision into the applicable facility policies.
2. Ensure that impacted staff are appropriately trained on the requirements of this provision and provide the auditor with proof of training completion.

Corrective Action Taken:

1. The facility provided the auditor with updated Policy D-104 Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention, which clearly illustrates all the requirements of this provision.
2. The facility provided the auditor with training records of staff, illustrating that staff have been trained on medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse requirements.

115.81 (e)

	<p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18. This is illustrated in the Wellpath Yuba County Specific Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 77033.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed medical and mental health staff during the onsite portion of the audit. Interviews conducted illustrated compliance with the requirements of this provision.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	---

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.82</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · Wellpath Response to Sexual Abuse Policy 36748 · The Yuba County Jail Emergency Response Scribe Sheet · Medical and Mental Health Secondary Materials Examples <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interviews with random staff throughout the facility · Interviews with medical and mental health staff <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.82 (a) (b)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis</p>

intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. This was evidenced by the medical and mental health secondary materials provided by the facility.

The auditor interviewed medical and mental health staff during the onsite portion of the audit. Individuals interviewed articulated that inmates receive unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention as soon as they receive the referral or information from security personnel.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.82 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

The auditor interviewed medical and mental health personnel during the onsite portion of the audit. Personnel reported compliance with this provision without hesitation.

115.82 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department mandates that treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.

and abusers

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

115.83

Document Review:

- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104
- The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 7/30/2025

Interviews:

- Interviews with medical and mental health staff

Findings by Provision:

115.83 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that the facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. This requirement is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

115.83 (b) (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures the evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody. Additionally, the facility provides such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care.

The auditor interviewed medical and mental health personnel during the onsite portion of the audit that confirmed compliance with all the requirements of these provisions.

115.83 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated are offered pregnancy tests. This requirement is illustrated The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

115.83 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that if pregnancy results from the

conduct described in paragraph (d) of this section, such victims will receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. This requirement was illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed a medical staff member at the facility that confirmed compliance with the requirements of this provision.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.83 (f)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.83 (g)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department mandates that treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104

The auditor was unable to interview inmates that reported sexual abuse at the facility because they had been released prior to the onsite portion of the audit. This information was verified through a review of inmate rosters and conversations with the facility PREA coordinator.

115.83 (h)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. This is illustrated in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed medical and mental health personnel during the onsite portion of the audit. Personnel reported compliance with the requirement of this provision.

	Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.
--	--

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.86</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Personnel Report · The Yuba County Jail Sexual Abuse or Assault Incident Review Form · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandums Dated 5/01/2025 · Investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment · Completed sexual abuse incident reviews <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with facility captain · Interviews with facility PREA coordinator · Interviews with incident review team members <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.86 (a)</p>

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that all of its facilities conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. This is evidenced by the directives provided in The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The PAQ reported that in the past 12 months, the facility has completed 2 criminal and/or administrative investigations of sexual abuse, which excluded unfounded incidents.

115.86 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that all sexual abuse incident reviews ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor reviewed a random sample of completed sexual abuse incident reviews which illustrated the facility's compliance with this provision.

The PAQ reported that in the past 12 months there were a total of 2 criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility that were followed by a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days.

115.86 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that the review team consists of upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 specifically outlines who the review team consists of.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain who confirmed that the facility has a

sexual abuse incident review team and that the review team consisted of the appropriate members as outlined in this provision.

115.86 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that the sexual abuse incident review team consider the following:

1. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse.
2. Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse.
3. Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts.
4. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.
5. Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1)-(d)(5) of this section, and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager.

The auditor reviewed examples of sexual abuse incident reviews provided by the facility which illustrated compliance with the all the requirements of this provision.

The auditor interviewed the facility captain who explained a consistent process that is completed at the end of each criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation.

The captain articulated in his response how the facility utilizes information they gather from the incident review to assist them in removing potential barriers and enhancements to video monitoring technology. The captain confirmed they complete a written electronic report of the team's findings at the conclusion of each sexual abuse investigation.

The auditor interviewed the facility PREA coordinator. The PREA coordinator confirmed the facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of each sexual abuse investigation. He confirmed the facility submits an electronic report which is reviewed by him and the facility captain on any recommendations for

	<p>changes that may be needed by the facility to enhance sexual safety.</p> <p>The auditor interviewed a staff member who participated in the facility sexual abuse incident review team. The incident review team member articulated the sexual abuse incident review process and compliance with all the requirements under this provision.</p> <p>115.86 (e)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department requires that The Yuba County Jail implement the recommendations for improvement, or shall document its reasons for not doing so as part of the sexual abuse incident review process.</p> <p>The auditor reviewed examples of sexual abuse incident reviews provided by the facility which illustrated compliance with this provision.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	--

115.87	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.87</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Jail PREA Tracking Sheet · The Yuba County Jail Data Collection Sheet · The Yuba County Jail 2024 PREA Data · Annual Survey of Sexual Victimization from 2023

Findings by Provision:

115.87 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. This is evidenced by the 2023 completed Annual Survey of Sexual Victimization and The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

115.87 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. This is evidenced by the uploaded 2024 PREA Data Reporting Sheet provided by the facility.

115.87 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department collects incident-based data and includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and the 2023 Annual Survey of Sexual Victimization.

115.87 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

115.87 (e)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department does not operate with or have any contracts with any private facilities. This was confirmed through informal conversations with the facility captain and facility PREA coordinator.

115.87 (f)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department did not receive a request from the Department of Justice for data from the previous calendar year but indicated that they would provide it no later than June 30, if requested.

Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.

115.88	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.88</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department 2024 Annual Review Report · The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Memorandum Dated 7/30/2025 <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with facility captain · Interview with PREA Coordinator <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.88 (a)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: (1) Identifying problem areas; (2) Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and (3) Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and the draft 2024 Annual Review Report. Compliance was also determined through interviews with the facility captain, and facility PREA coordinator.</p> <p>115.88 (b)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department reports include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the facility’s progress in addressing sexual abuse. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and the draft 2024 Annual Review Report.</p> <p>115.88 (c)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department report will be approved by the facility captain and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means. As discussed earlier in this report, the facility has not been actively PREA auditing. Therefore, the facility does not have previous year’s annual reports on its website. The facility has created a draft annual report for 2024</p>

	<p>and established a process to ensure the report it generated yearly and posted to the external facility website as required in this provision. This was confirmed through interviews with the facility captain and the facility PREA coordinator.</p> <p>115.88 (d)</p> <p>The Yuba County Sheriff's Department may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility, but does indicate the nature of the material redacted. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and the draft 2024 Annual Review Report. Compliance was also determined through an interview with the facility PREA coordinator.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	--

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>115.89</p> <p>Document Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 · The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Memorandum Dated 10/09/2025 <p>Interviews:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Interview with PREA coordinator <p>Site Review Observations:</p>

- The auditor observed the physical storage area of any information/documentation collected and maintained in hard copy pursuant to the PREA Standards (e.g., risk screening information, medical records, sexual abuse allegations) to determine if the area is secured.
- The auditor Observed electronic safeguards of any information/documentation collected and maintained electronically pursuant to the PREA Standards (e.g., risk screening information) to determine how access to the information is secured

Findings by Provision:

115.89 (a)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained. This was partially determined through a review of The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104.

The auditor interviewed a staff member responsible for the inmate risk screening process who confirmed that all inmates coming to the facility regardless of their prior location, are screened risk of being abused or being abusive towards others. The auditor also learned during the interview that information placed in the computer tracking system the facility utilizes for the PREA risk screening process can be accessed by any staff member at the facility.

Corrective Action Required:

Within 180 days of this report the facility will:

1. Establish a clear written process and procedure for what staff at the facility are authorized to access and review sensitive information, PREA risk screening information, at the facility.
2. Establish a password system designed to allow access to those staff authorized to view sensitive information for the purpose of classification processes.

Corrective Action Taken by the Facility:

1. The Yuba County Sheriff's Department updated policy Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104. The policy now states any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is strictly limited to staff members with the need-to-know, and only as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law.
2. The agency also implemented changes to their jail management software which allows only authorized staff to access sensitive information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting.

115.89 (b)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department makes all aggregated sexual abuse data, from its facility, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means. This is evidenced by a review of information available to the public on the facility external website.

115.89 (c)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department ensures aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available; the agency removes all personal identifiers. This is evidenced by The Yuba County Sheriff's Department Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Policy D-104 and a review of information available to the public on the facility's external website.

115.89 (d)

The Yuba County Sheriff's Department maintains sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise. This is evidenced by an interview with

	<p>the facility PREA coordinator.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>
--	--

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.401</p> <p>Findings by Provision:</p> <p>115.401 (a)</p> <p>The auditor confirmed that the facility has not conducted PREA audits in multiple years. The facility recently started housing inmates for the U.S. Marshall’s Office and as a condition of confining those inmates, the facility must become PREA compliant. This audit is a result of the facility’s attempt to gain full compliance with the PREA standards.</p> <p>115.401 (b)</p> <p>As discussed in 115.401 (a) the facility has not conducted PREA audits in recent years. However, The Yuba County Jail is a single facility under the umbrella of the Yuba County Sheriff’s Department. The Yuba County Sheriff’s Department has only one jail facility.</p> <p>115.401 (h)</p> <p>The auditor had access to, and observed, all areas of the facility.</p> <p>115.401 (i)</p> <p>The auditor was permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information).</p> <p>115.401 (m)</p> <p>The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates.</p> <p>115.401 (n)</p> <p>Inmates were permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel.</p>

	<p>The auditor verified the methods that inmates are afforded for sending confidential correspondence to the auditor through their legal mail process.</p> <p>The auditor verified with facility management that inmates were given at least 6 weeks advance notice of the audit. The auditor had the facility provide time stamped pictures as examples of when the audit notices were posted and that the accurate information was provided to the population of where to send the correspondences.</p> <p>As of the writing of this audit report, the auditor did not receive any letters from inmates incarcerated at the Yuba County Jail.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures, site review and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard.</p>
--	---

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>115.403</p> <p>(a) The facility has not completed PREA audits in recent years. The facility is attempting to gain compliance with the PREA standards as a requirement of housing inmates for the U.S. Marshall's Office. The facility will be directed by this auditor, to post the final PREA report related to this audit upon its final completion. The facility has an external website and a page specifically designed for PREA information for the public.</p> <p>Based upon information contained in the PAQ, policies, procedures and interviews conducted, the facility is substantially compliant with this standard.</p>

Appendix: Provision Findings		
115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
115.11 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	na
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	na
115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	na
115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure	na

	that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	
115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into	yes

	consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes

115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	yes
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the	yes

	facility does not have female inmates.)	
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	yes
115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes

	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in	yes

	formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	
115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42	yes

	U.S.C. 1997)?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.17 (b) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (c) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.17 (d) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (e) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes

115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	na
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit,	yes

	whichever is later.)	
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes

	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.22 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	

	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.22 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na
115.31 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with	yes

	inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
115.31 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes
115.31 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.31 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how	yes

	to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes
	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes

	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or	yes

	prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	yes

115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes
115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes

	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	yes
115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	

	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes
115.41 (g) Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.41 (h) Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
115.41 (i) Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	yes
115.42 (a) Use of screening information		
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of	yes

	being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.42 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
115.42 (c)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	

	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes
115.43 (b) Protective Custody		
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
115.43 (c) Protective Custody		
	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes

	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d) Protective Custody		
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
115.43 (e) Protective Custody		
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a) Inmate reporting		
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
115.51 (b) Inmate reporting		
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	no
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	no
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request?	no
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials	na

	and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no
115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency	yes

	is exempt from this standard.)	
115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between	yes

	inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a	yes

	sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	
115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	

	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities	yes

	responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	
115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report	yes

	of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d) Agency protection against retaliation		
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.67 (e) Agency protection against retaliation		
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a) Post-allegation protective custody		
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a) Criminal and administrative agency investigations		
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations,	yes

	including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes

115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (l)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na
115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in	na

	order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	
115.73 (c) Reporting to inmates		
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (d) Reporting to inmates		
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes

115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	

	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does	yes

	the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	na
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	na
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	yes
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	yes
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	

	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes

115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	yes
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	yes
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	na
115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation	yes

	has been determined to be unfounded?	
115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	

	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	na
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	na
115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	

	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	no
115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	no
115.401	Frequency and scope of audits	

(b)		
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a “no” response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse	na

	noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	
--	---	--